Faculty Evaluation by Peer Review Committee

Name: | | | | | | Evaluation Period From | | To | | |
Banner ID: | | | | Department/Discipline: | | |
Instructor [ ] Associate Professor [ ] Assistant Professor [ ] Professor [ ]
Full Time Temporary [ ] Non-Tenure Track [ ]
Rank: | | | | Tenure: [ ] Yes [ ] No | Date of Employment: | | |
SAC [ ] SPC [ ] PAC [ ] NVC [ ] NLC [ ] | Department Chair/Supervisor: | |
***************************************************************************

The purpose of the peer review evaluation is to provide an assessment/impression of the faculty member’s teaching abilities, teaching materials, and service and professional growth.

Librarians/Counselors/Peer Reviews will address all relevant areas.

Classroom Observation:

Teaching characteristics demonstrated in the classroom observation may include, but are not limited to the following:

- Organization of subject matter
- Mastery of subject matter
- Presentation of concepts, ideas, and assignments
- Encouragement of student participation
- Interaction with students

The evaluator will provide a written description of the faculty member’s strengths and any suggestions for improvement.

Strengths:


Suggestions for Improvement:
Evaluation of Teaching Materials

Teaching materials may include, but are not limited to the following:

- Syllabi
- Class assignments/projects/exams
- Evaluation criteria
- Samples of graded materials/assignments
- Curriculum development activities

The evaluator will provide a written description of the faculty member’s strengths and any suggestions for improvement.

Strengths:

Suggestions for improvement:

Evaluation of Service and Professional Growth

Service and professional growth of the faculty member may include, but are not limited to the following:

- Service to the department
- Service to the college
- Service to the profession/discipline
- Attendance at conferences/seminars
- Presentations at conferences
- Educational advancement

The evaluator will provide a written description of the faculty member’s strengths and any suggestions for improvement.

Strengths:
Suggestions for improvement:
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